



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,480	08/01/2003	Dan Pharo		6404
7590	02/26/2004			
Robert J. Schaap Ste. 188 21241 Ventura Blvd. Woodland Hills, CA 91364			EXAMINER SMITH, RICHARD A	
			ART UNIT 2859	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/633,480	Applicant(s) PHARO ET AL.	
	Examiner R. Alexander Smith	Art Unit 2859	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) R. Alexander Smith.

(3) Dan Pharo (inventor).

(2) Robert J. Schaap (RN 20577).

(4) Alex Humbree (inventor).

Date of Interview: 19 February 2004.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☒ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: The applicant's invention and a mat similar to a prior art reference, i.e., Hensler.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hensler, Chein, Gehweiler et al,

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed the prior art rejections and the references Chien, Hensler et al., and Gehweiler et al.

A general discussion with respect to the uses and functions of the system was discussed.

With respect to structure, Applicant discussed the end of line not being taught by the applied prior art of record in combination for the intended use. Although addressed by the examiner in the previous Office action, the examiner requested that the applicant submit arguments emphasizing this limitation and why it is not obvious.

Also discussed the possibility of the invention in combination with a destination..